



| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Wesolowski et al. (1993). Effects of feedback and behaviour contracting on head trauma persons' inappropriate sexual behaviour. <i>Behav Resid Treat</i>, 8(2): 89-96.</p> | <p>RoBiNT score - 14/30</p> |
| <p>Method / Results</p> | <p>Rehabilitation Program</p> |
| <p>Design</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study Type: SCD. ABAB design (A – baseline, B – intervention). • Population: n=3. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Peggy: female, 31 years old, sustained a closed head injury subsequent to an automobile accident. ○ Mage: female, 18 years old, sustained a closed head injury at the age of 6 months after falling out of a high chair. ○ Andy: male, 25 years old, sustained a closed head injury following a motorcycle accident. • Setting: In-patient rehabilitation facility. <p>Target behaviour measure/s:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All participants: reduction in frequency of inappropriate touching which included kissing or touching member of opposite sex, excluding handshakes. • Andy: reduction in frequency of sexual comments. <p>Primary outcome measure/s:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No other standardised measure. <p>Results: Visual analysis of graphed data showed scheduled feedback and pairing with behaviour contracts resulted in reduction of inappropriate sexual touching. No statistical analysis was performed.</p> | <p>Aim: To demonstrate the efficacy of using scheduled feedback with differential reinforcement with low rate of responding (DRL) to reduce inappropriate touching.</p> <p>Materials: Data sheet developed with 7 columns on top of page for each day of week and 16 columns for every waking hour to record frequency.</p> <p>Treatment Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Duration: 40 – 50 weeks. • Procedure: Data collected for 16 continuous hours the clients were awake. Feedback was provided between 7:00am and 11:00pm. • Content: • <u>Baseline condition:</u> Staff observations and redirection to new activity if inappropriate behaviour observed without any instruction. Sexual comments ignored. • <u>Scheduled feedback:</u> Staff informed participants whether behaviour was appropriate or inappropriate through specific examples. Hourly verbal and written feedback provided. • <u>Scheduled feedback with behaviour contracting (DRL):</u> Participants earned pre-established activities (e.g. trips to shopping mall, supervised dates, dinner and movies out) for demonstrating low frequency of inappropriate behaviours. Frequency of feedback gradually reduced. |